

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,059	09/29/2000	Yoshitaka Shimoda	P107156-00021	9458
7590 03/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn PLLC Suite 600			PSITOS, AKISTOTELIS M	
1050 Connecticut Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-5339 2653				

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	***
·-	09/675,059	SHIMODA ET AL.	·.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Aristotelis M Psitos	2653	
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2000 is/a		cted to by the Examin	er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			\$
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		· ·
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			age
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	-ov
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15))
S. G. and and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/675,059

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS of 12/10/03 has been reviewed and made of record.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

With respect to claims 4 and 11, the examiner cannot find clear support for the phrase "determination means" as recited. Hence the claims are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 (d) (1). Further elaboration is respectfully requested.

With respect to claims 1 and 8, although the introductory phrases in these independent claims are different, i.e., claim 1 is for a "write device", while claim 8 is for a "read device", since the remaining claim language is identical, the examiner cannot readily ascertain the patentable distinction between these two claims. Further elaboration is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, because the examiner fails to find clear support for the noted objected to phrase see above with respect to "determination means", the description requirement is not met. No art is developed against these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,059

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3,5-10,12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Nishikawa or EP 0704841.

The US Patent to Nishikawa is the US equivalent of the EP document. The EP document is relied upon for the reasons stated in the accompanying search report, and hence the US Patent is also relied upon therefore. Note also the description of figure 8 in the US and EP document.

7. Claims 1-3,7-10,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 05-101420.

As noted in the abstract thereof as identified by the accompanying submitted search report, the limitations of these claims are met. No further analysis is made. No copy of the entire JP document is being sent in this OA.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshio et al – see figures1-5 and the accompanying disclosure, especially with respect to figure 4. This reference could be relied upon in place of the above documents to met the claimed limitations (claims 1-3,5-10,12 and 14)

Shinoda et al – see figures 1-3 and the accompanying disclosure thereof. This document could be relied upon in place of the JP document relied upon above in paragraph 7 to meet claims 1-3,7-10 and 14.

Takahashi et al – see figures 1-10 and the accompanying disclosure thereof. This document could be relied upon to meet the limitations of claims 1-3,5,7-10,12 and 14.

Tanaka – see figures 1-8 and the accompanying disclosure thereof. This document could be relied upon to meet the claimed limitations of claims 1-3,5,7-10,12 and 14.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,059

Art Unit: 2653

Shindo – the description of figure 5 for its ctc (cross talk canceller), Ogasawara et al – ctc (cross talk canceller), Katayama – figure 20 and its description.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Hard copies of the application files are now separated from this examining corps; hence the examiner can answer no questions that require a review of the file without sufficient lead-time.

Any inquiries concerning missing papers/references, etc. must be directed to Group 2600 Customer Services at (703) 306-0377.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

AMP